

Contents

Volume XXIII: Number 1

Multicultural Education: Powerful Tool for Preparing Future General and Special Educators by <i>Festus E. Obiakor</i>	1
On Two Approaches to Teaching an Elementary Statistics Course: The Workshop Approach vs. the Traditional Approach by <i>John Zhang</i>	15
Learning Style Preferences: Advancing the Culture/ Learning Style Connection by <i>Carolyn Kryston Morales</i> and <i>Anna Mejia Flores</i>	23
An In-Depth Look at Two Aspects of Mathematical Reasoning Ability: Computational Reasoning Ability and Logical Reasoning Ability by <i>Joyce Fischer</i>	29
Volume XXIII: Number 2	
Logical Mathematical Reasoning and Its Effect On Student Learning by <i>Joyce Fischer</i>	1
Moving Forward: Framework for a Comprehensive US International Education Policy by <i>Kaetlyn Lad</i>	15
ANew Leadership Strategy for a Global Japan by <i>Virginia E. Garland</i>	23
Futuristic Leadership in a Global Community by <i>Federico J. Rodriguez</i>	29

EDITORIAL POLICY

Scholar and Educator is an interdisciplinary journal of scholarship, opinion and observation by members of the *Society of Educators and Scholars*. It invites submission of articles from any academic or occupational field which relates to the purposes and foundations of education, the professions, other vocations, or which presents an interdisciplinary approach to issues of life.

Prospective authors are requested to send for the editorial style sheet, submission of manuscripts by non-members is welcome. If a non-member's manuscript is accepted for publication, the author agrees to take on membership in the society for the year in which the article is published. Contributions to *Scholar and Educator* should be 2,000 to 3,000 words (9-12 pages), including the list of references at the end. Manuscripts should be double spaced and have ample margins. Responsibility for content and presentation of each article and for all fact, opinions, citations, and cleanness rests solely with the author(s).

Authors should pay special attention to clarity of thought and structure in the manuscript and avoid jargon as much as possible. The editor reserves the right to edit essays for clarity, taste and other editorial concerns. The editors request that authors make reasonable efforts to avoid discriminatory language in their manuscripts.

Opinions of the author(s) are strictly their own and do not necessarily represent those of the editors, the Editorial Staff, the Society of Educators and Scholars or its members.

Four copies of the manuscript should be submitted. Authors should retain a copy for their files. If the manuscript is accepted, authors will need to submit a word-processed copy on 3.5" floppy diskette in Ascii language.

Upon acceptance of a manuscript, authors will be required to sign a release form permitting publication of the manuscript by *Scholar and Educator*.

SUBSCRIPTION AND CORRESPONDANCE

Scholar and Educator is published in a spring and fall issue by the *Society of Educators and Scholars*, Inter American University of Puerto Rico, Metropolitan Campus, PO Box 191293, San Juan, PR 00919-1293. Subscription rate is ten dollars per year for individuals and institutions.

Communication regarding submissions, orders, subscriptions, advertising, and notice of permanent address changes should be sent to Richard A. Kruse, Executive Editor, Scholar and Educator, 24b, Cedarwood Dr., Asheville, NC 28803

FOUNDING EDITOR

JAY W. STEIN, WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

Executive Editor

Glenn Sweetman

Managing Editor

Carolyn Kryston Morales, Inter American University

Editorial Advisory Board

Châmal Anderson, Inter American University

Jeffrey Breshin, Inter American University

Dágnar Buhning, Inter American University

Virginia Garland, University of New Hampshire

Jarice Heber, Inter American University

Elizabeth Hernández, Inter American University

Barbara Hornum, Drexel University

Toriás M. Jiménez, Inter American University

Luis Otero, Inter American University

Myra Ortiz, Inter American University

Robert Van Trieste, Inter American University

Beatrice Tobin, Inter American University

María Guerrero, Inter American University

José Lema Moyá, Inter American University

John Zhang, Indiana University of Pennsylvania

Society of Educators and Scholars

President

Richard Kruse

Executive Director

Toriás M. Jiménez

Inter American University

Board of Directors

Carlton Deonaran, Elizabeth City State University

Manuel J. Fernós, Inter American University

J.L. Fortson, Pepperdine University

Virginia E. Garland, University of New Hampshire

Barbara Hornum, Drexel University

Herman W. Hughes, Pepperdine University

Patricia Lacey Metzger, Mary Washington College

Fernando Oliver, Fairleigh Dickinson University

Edward Pasko, Purdue University

Glenn R. Sweetman, William Carey College

Angeline Liang, Inter American University

Gholam Kibria, University of Delaware

Karen Sides - González, St. Phillip's College

Fred J. Rodríguez, University of California,

Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas

Tony Morden, University of Teeside, (UK)

Inter American University of Puerto Rico,

Metropolitan Campus

PO Box 191293

San Juan, PR 00919-1293

Futuristic Leadership in a Global Community

Federico J. Rodríguez
California State University, Dominguez Hills

Abstract

There is a growing consensus among educators and other professionals that the design and structure of education must change fundamentally if we are to compete successfully in a global community. A different approach is needed, especially if we are to prepare school administrators to meet today's leadership challenges. The new structure should enhance preparation, allowing for innovation and futuristic thinking in a collaborative setting, as well as prepare leaders to meet international challenges. This article examines the changing demands for school leadership and outlines collaborative arrangements with an interdisciplinary group of professionals. It also addresses the development of visionary futuristic leadership which embraces vision, risk, and change, where future leaders are motivated and empowered for effective school leadership in an era of globalization.

Futuristic Leadership in a Global Community

Over the last decade, the public education system has undergone unprecedented change. The standards-based reform movement has transformed the focus and goals of public education, challenging schools to set higher expectations for all students and holding everyone, from superintendent to students, accountable for academic performance. For that reason, recent reforms in education have focused much energy on improving instruction and increasing the knowledge and skills of classroom teachers. But these same reform efforts have often overlooked an equally important lever for educational change—the nature and quality of leadership provided by school principals, superintendents, and school board members. "The challenge of creating schools to meet these objectives involves many facets of the educational system," says the Council of Chief State School Officers [CCSSO] in its 2000 publication (CCSSO, 2000, p.1), *Leadership for Learning in the 21st Century*. As pressure to improve schools continues, school districts have to be able to attract and retain high quality individuals to become principals.

School Site Leadership: Present and Future

Tomás Jiménez (2001) from the Inter American University of Puerto Rico asks "What does it mean to be an educated man or woman today, in this day and age, at the threshold of the new millennium?" Likewise, what does it mean to be an effective instructional leader at the threshold of the new millennium?

The principal's and superintendent's job is changing dramatically, as states and school districts raise standards for student learning, reform curriculum and instruction, educate a more diverse student population, decentralize management, and confront citizens who are losing confidence in public education. Today's leaders face complex demands for which they have not been trained. Prospective candidates have reason to be concerned.

Olson (2000) further highlights that many competent, hard-working principals are experiencing frustration on the job and are having second thoughts about continuing in their jobs. Many of the frustrations experienced by principals are related to sheer overload, being unable to accomplish the many tasks and responsibilities of the job. Today's principals and superintendents not only must be skilled in their interactions with school boards and teachers, but they also must be able to communicate well with parents, the media, and the public. The principal's and superintendent's job is also comprised of role conflict, role ambiguity, and role overload. Whitaker (1994) states "One of the most significant problems of role clarification and conflict centered around expectations for principals' role in site-based management and collaborative decision-making" (p. 161).

With the new leadership challenges, finding qualified persons willing to apply for and assume the role of school administrator has become a problem for school district personnel. "Fewer qualified individuals seem willing to enter the fray. At the same time, the need for school leaders is increasing" (EdSource, 2001, p.1).

Education Administrative Certification Programs Examined

Consensus among educators supports the improvement of programs for training future administrators and interest in preservice graduate training of school administrators has strongly increased. Believing that graduate education was the answer, educators and policy makers developed requirements for principal certification. Haller, Brent, and McNamara (1997) reported that during 1994 many states required prospective principals to obtain at least a master's degree prior to appointment.

However, with the continuous shortage of principals, these preservice administrator-training programs are being questioned. The leading scholars in our field have closely examined educational administration, especially as it relates to our role in leadership preparation. They contend that current educational administration preparation programs need to be reexamined and that a different approach is advocated.

Complicating the issue is the loss of confidence in university preservice training of school administrators. Haller, Brent, and McNamara (1997), for example, stated "graduate programs in educational administration seem to have little or no influence on the attributes that characterize effective schools." They go on to say:

Since much of this impetus for school improvement was thought to derive from the actions of principals, we should not be surprised to observe a strong interest in the preservice training of school administrators. Recently, much of the discussion surrounding that training has centered on the merits of various pedagogical techniques and delivery systems. Although these analyses offer useful insights into the features of existing and emerging programs, they tend to obscure a prior question. Why should we believe that the best way to become a good administrator is by completing the state requirements for principal certification through graduate school? (p. 223)

"Quite frankly, I think we've been disappointed with the traditional teacher- and leadership training programs," said Mr. Ferrandino of the National Association of Secondary School Principals. They have not been able to move from the theoretical to the practical issues that principals face in a manner that's been, in our minds, as effective as they need to be" (Olson, 2000, p.14).

Think Tanks and Dialogue

There is a perception among many educators and policy makers that there is a disconnect between the preparation that higher education offers and the real needs of practicing administrators. One of the disconnects is that current school leaders went through university-based training programs designed in the past and that preparation is not adequate to meet the challenges of today. Improving these programs is critical and state leaders, professional organizations and universities must recognize the importance of updating administrator preparation programs. This concern is also reflected in new legislative initiatives to improve administrative preparation training programs.

A number of professional associations have set out to define new job descriptions for school leaders. The Association of California School Administrators (ACSA), California Association of Professors of Education Administration (CAPEA), and the National Council of Professors of Education Administration (NCPPEA) have led the way in this effort, drafting professional standards for educational leaders (EASource, 2001).

New Thinking on What Makes a Leader

There is major concern about the improvement of instructional leadership, the need to change current practices to strengthen leadership, and the need to move from the antiquated culture of demanding compliance to one of gaining commitment. Everyone recognizes the need to develop the deal of leading with vision and values, and empowering every individual.

As policy makers turn their attention to finding effective leaders for the nation's schools, they face as many questions as answers. Olson, (2000) states, "There is a sort of unarticulated, growing understanding that we've conceived the job of school leader wrong for contemporary needs and conditions, and that needs to be changed" (p. 3).

Today, the duties of the administrators who run most schools consist of supervising faculty and staff and managing resources. However, a dominant belief in policy circles is that principals, instead of being facility managers, should become leaders of instruction—dynamic, inspirational educators focused almost exclusively on raising student achievement. The implications for improving the

role of the principal are evident. Whitaker (1994) stated:

No longer is it sufficient to provide only managerial skills training to prospective principals. Leadership skills should be fully developed so that future principals have a strong foundation and belief system on which to base difficult decisions. Preparation programs must also include an emphasis on understanding personal and personality characteristics that might, or might not, match the demands of the principalship. As collaborative decision-making takes hold, dealing with so many different constituencies requires some background on how to work effectively with diverse groups and how to deal with politically explosive situations (p. 162).

In the future an increasingly essential dimension of leadership is the ability to communicate and collaborate with people inside and outside schools. The principal in the future cannot exercise control through hierarchical authority, as in the past, but must forge consensus and mobilize talents to enhance school-site leadership and student performance. Today effective schools are said to have a principal who is viewed by his or her staff as an instructional leader; a faculty that is directly involved in the decision-making process; and a principal who is able to provide guidance, support, and encouragement to staff members when requested. Leadership should be seen as a shared process involving leaders, teachers, students, parents, and community members.

"Instead of 'management' or 'administration,' what is needed is entrepreneurial educational leadership," states Peck (1999, p. 47). Peck (1999) further highlights that:

The leadership that's needed is not the traditional leader/follower relationship, but a newer, more democratic type in which individuals are motivated and empowered. Rather than promoting their own ideas, successful leaders of educational reform efforts will help teams of educators create powerful, shared visions and will provide the encouragement and support necessary to streamline the progress. A democratic 'player/coach,' the entrepreneurial leader will bring out the best in each member of the team, helping them see the need for dramatic change, design exciting alternatives to traditional practice, and identify and remove significant obstacles. (p. 46)

Effective leadership in education is distributed among significant groups. Leadership capacity must be developed in teams of leaders from these groups. These "partnership leadership leaders" all have critical roles in helping students perform at high academic levels. This focus on "multiple" leadership recognizes that the effectiveness of a school depends on "ownership" by all participants (Day, 2000).

Components of Visionary Leadership

Although most people believe in and advocate "shared leadership" and "collaborative partnerships" as qualities essential for democratic society, evidence of truly embracing these qualities remains elusive. Building these connections is not easy. Too many people still believe the job of management is to "control and direct." Graduate students in education administration may be far too inclined to "administer", and as administrators, they certainly will have a greater tendency to "manage" than to lead, inspire, coach, or support their organizations. However, "in today's chaotic, fast-moving, technology-intensive environment, people-oriented, action-describing leadership is needed, not bland, one-size-fits-all generalities from the past" (Marotti, 1999, p. 17).

The major dimension to leadership is its ethical commitment (Foster, 1999). Ethical commitment implies that leaders will lead through responsible authority: not using their position or authority in a negative manner which involves the use of power to achieve those ends desired by the "leader", what Foster (1999) labels a "negative power relationship." This means that an individual entrusted with some position of power uses that position to achieve objectives that are not of communal benefit, but of benefit to the aspirations of only that individual. Foster (1999) highlights:

This use of power to achieve an individual's ends only often results in treating people as means rather than as ends-in-themselves. Treating people as means is to dehumanize them, yet this is often the result of 'leadership' training programs, which see the task as the end and the person as the means to accomplish that end, but leadership is founded on the fact of moral relationships; it is intended to elevate people to new levels of morality. (p. 11)

Christip and Larson (1994) propose frameworks for establishing ethical leadership for dealing with complex collaborative initiatives. They argue that in order to be a collaborative leader one must be able to: inspire commitment, be a peer problem solver, inspire hope and build broad based

support through trust... Kettle (2001) adds:

Building community can be viewed as an engagement that initiates a series of transformative events that encourage collaboration. Building networks of collective dialogue can help to create trust and cooperation because they reduce nonparticipation: uncertainty and they provide models for cooperation. Caring and administration are not exclusionary. (p. 1)

Stephen Bertman (as cited in Kettle, 2001) argues that "it is not possible to preserve our free institutions and build a better society in our democracy if we have forgotten our history and the price that was paid for democracy. Merely enjoying democracy does not guarantee its perpetuation" (p. 35-36). "The greatest challenge facing education today is to help our students understand the vital link between leadership and those values that sustain a democratic society—and, most important, a good society" stated Yates (1999, p. 56). Ethical leadership and democracy through education is key in this era of globalization.

Because of the lack of interdisciplinary partnerships many educational administrators are also not very well-informed, necessarily, by other fields of practice and other visions of leadership that might be interesting—whether it is management and business, or insights from law enforcement and the military, or other ways of thinking (Olson, 2000). Nevertheless, new trends on new thinking are emerging on what makes a good leader. The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, for example, is currently initiating a collaborative leadership training partnership program with selected campuses of the California State University (T. Laing, personal communication, June 21, 2001). "Successful law enforcement is forged by partnerships" stated Sheriff Lee Baca (Baca, 2001, p. 24) as he outlined the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD2) strategic planning process. The Sheriff asks us to "imagine the possibilities of thinking differently" (Moorehead, 2001, p. 3). In the future, the Sheriff wants to place more emphasis on education and therefore the LASD2 will examine the feasibility of creating an accredited "LASDU", or the University of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department. The Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO, 2000), which works on behalf of the state agencies that serve pre K-12 students throughout the nation, is also initiating innovative leadership initiatives which focus on examples of leadership challenges in the military, business, and health; and state actions to strengthen "partnership leaders" with other professions and build the state education agency's capacity to improve school and district leadership. These initiatives are forward-thinking, using new approaches and new ways of distributing the responsibility of leadership preparation.

The pace of change will accelerate and the urgent need for highly educated men and women who possess international competence, perspective, human values, and political courage will increase (New England Board of Higher Education, 1991). Today, business, political and educational institutions worldwide struggle to keep abreast of the dynamics of social and educational change. The modern decision-maker must be able to wisely analyze the impact of events halfway around the world. Policy-makers at the national level also highlight that there is need for bottom-up education reform to enhance creative and innovative approaches to meet international challenges (Goals 2000: Educate America Act, 1994).

It is clear that educational leaders will also need international collaborative experience in order to better understand the arena that students will enter as young adults and be prepared to assist them in that area (Rodríguez, 1998). Pallante (2001) further highlights the need for international experiences. He stated:

There are four dichotomies or boundaries in education that have sometimes had an almost paralytic effect on advancements in education and by extension the academic success of K-12 students: international experiences being one of those dichotomies. A primarily white, middle class, monolingual teaching force, often unprepared for the diversity they find in the classroom, would benefit from an international experience. International collaborative partnerships foster multicultural and global understanding in participants and provide meaningful, contextual, and integrated international experiences for teachers and their students (p. 1).

Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas

Preparing administrators for new modes of leadership will require changes in content and delivery of professional development programs. As we begin to redefine the leadership direction that we take, we must ask important questions such as: What are the experiences that are needed to nurture

leadership? How do we develop responsible leadership as well as empower and mentor future leaders? How do we train administrators to project into the future and stay focused on their vision?

Although the ideals for new leadership have been outlined, few individuals or organizations have taken the ideal to implementation. Recently, however, an innovative program entitled *Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas (CEPA)* has actually carried out new initiatives and has had a direct, concrete, positive impact on graduate students in educational administration and professionals from other disciplines. CEPA has moved from the theoretical to the practical.

CEPA was developed in 1995 in response to the urgent need for a different approach for improving instructional leadership and to enhance university-based training programs. It is an innovative and bold leadership initiative with an emphasis on developing future instructional leaders through collaborative arrangements and partnerships among educators and other professionals. CEPA embraces vision, risk, and change, community building, and outreach for the new millennium for creating a cadre of qualified leaders to carry out new collaborative initiatives.

The CEPA platform for effective leadership encompasses three major components: (a) collaborative decision-making using democratic principles, (b) interdisciplinary leadership, and (c) international leadership. CEPA addresses critical educational and social issues through the use of seminars, forums, and conferences in which small interdisciplinary groups of professionals design paradigms in a free-flow exchange of ideas. By working in small groups where everyone contributes, each individual is empowered and recognized. Graduate students in educational leadership have the opportunity to collaborate with senior professionals from universities, law enforcement, the military, business and industry, as well as civic and political leaders. The students not only become better informed about other visions of leadership, they also have the opportunity to actually practice leadership.

CEPA initiatives are designed to sharpen our focus on instructional leadership by promoting dialog and mutual learning. CEPA's vision embraces the "effective entrepreneurial leader" philosophy that Peck (1999) proposes, where all team members are encouraged to provide both innovative ideas and support to promote a shared vision developed and owned by the team.

CEPA's first component, collaborative decision-making in a democratic setting, is the foundation that has characterized all CEPA initiatives. CEPA has inspired participants to become their own leaders—not simply to follow, but to "ask questions, challenge authority, defy orthodoxy, and lead lives of integrity" as advocated by State Senator John Vasconcellos, author of *Blueprints for the Golden State: California Higher Education and 2001 reform initiative for student learning and achievement* (Rodríguez, 2001). Graduate students, and others seeking professional development, are mentored in the design and development of their vision for bringing about change. They are encouraged to become focused and passionate in guiding instructional programs. Vision is not seeing things as they are, but as they will be. By visualizing a larger concept they can concentrate on the important issues contributing to educational excellence and avoid wasting time and energy on irrelevant issues which take away from their priorities. Leadership skills are also developed so that future principals have a strong foundation and belief system on which to base difficult decisions, as supported by members of CAPEA. A more democratic leadership approach in which individuals are motivated and empowered is being promoted. CEPA is developing an organizational cultural change where leadership is viewed as power with people, not over people.

Furthermore, CEPA promotes the idea that candidates aspiring for leadership roles measure themselves by the vision of the future. We cannot afford to dwell upon the past. We cannot afford to dwell upon the present either, for that too will be gone. CEPA is preparing leaders with bold and adventurous ideas who possess the passion to take vision to implementation, who can listen to others and have the ability to capture the imagination of those who also want to contribute creative and innovative ideas for a shared vision of the future.

The second major CEPA component has also become reality: interdisciplinary leadership. Collaborative arrangements and partnerships have been promoted with law enforcement and the military—two professional groups which practice leadership on a daily basis. The ideas contributed by business/industry, governmental, political and civic leaders are also included in the CEPA movement. Through these partnerships new thinking is emerging on what makes a good leader.

As we enter the new millennium CEPA's outreach initiatives are intended for increasing mutual understanding between nations—the third component of CEPA. International conferences strengthen the ties which unite us by demonstrating educational and cultural interests, development,

and our collective achievements.

CEPA in Action

Since its formation in 1995 CEPA has conducted a number of conferences and forums, both national and international. The most recent event was the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Educators and Scholars, hosted by CEPA in Long Beach, California from March 7-9, 2001. More than 100 delegates attended the conference representing all parts of the nation, the Caribbean, and Mexico. Forty interdisciplinary scholars and leaders presented papers and participated on panels during the three-day event. The conference theme was *Visionary International Leadership: An Interdisciplinary Initiative for the new Millennium*.

Highly regarded keynote speakers from the educational, military, and political arenas shared their vision on futuristic and ethical leadership. Underscoring these themes were breakout sessions where papers were presented on leadership issues. Two panels made up of distinguished interdisciplinary scholars and leaders discussed "Models for Promoting Leadership: Exploring Educational Paradigms to Better Focus Professional Development." These panelists also addressed "How Do We Develop Responsible Leadership to Empower Our Future Leaders?" The second panel addressed "Team Building and Organizational Cultural Change." A Superintendents' and Presidents' Summit on Leadership rounded out the conference.

One of the unique features of the conference was that graduate students in educational leadership took part in every aspect of its planning and implementation. They worked on event organization, presented papers on leadership, served as Master of Ceremonies and moderated the breakout sessions, escorted dignitaries, and handled protocol and other high profile events (Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas [CEPA], 2001). Dr. Joyce Fischer, Southwest Texas University stated:

The inspiring and intellectually stimulating conference has ended and life is (hopefully) less hectic for you. I was very impressed with your innovative program of powerful young future leaders, and of course, with the students themselves. It was a trail-blazing experience (to use the Texas vernacular). (J. Fischer, personal communication, March 12, 2001)

The Proceedings for the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Educators and Scholars were published and are being used to augment leadership preparation programs at California State University, Dominguez Hills. In addition, an instructional video was collected and is currently being shared with other colleges and universities. The work we do collectively, the improvement of leadership, continues to be the focus nation-wide. This unprecedented focus on school leadership challenges all of us to reflect and collaborate on improving leadership and its delivery to our future leaders. The Annual Conferences of the Society of Educators and Scholars serve as a focal point for information, dialog, and collaboration for re-focusing our energy, expertise and talents in a pro-active manner.

Another CEPA conference held in Central America from July 26-28, 2000 brought together graduate students in educational administration with delegates from the El Salvadoran Ministries of Education, Defense, Economy, Environment, External Relations, Health, Labor, Public Security and Justice, and Public Works. The conference theme emphasized reflections and strategic futuristic planning to confront the challenges of the 21st century and addressed areas such as: globalization, human rights, and the democratization of information (CEPA, 2000). A powerful statement from one delegate summed up the general feeling about this academic event. He stated:

As a student in my final year of a Business Administration degree at the University of Central America, I would like to both thank and congratulate you for this marvelous program. My country is surrounded by problems which represent great obstacles in our ability to face the risks and opportunities which confront us at the beginning of this new millennium. My experience at the seminar has filled me with great hope—thanks to this conference I have seen how all the interrelated groups in society are willing to work together to present a united front. I saw how topics which were once matters of dispute in our world are now transformed into the elements which will forge our destiny as part of a globalized society. It is also a source of personal joy to know that there are people like Dr. Rodríguez and his outstanding team of colleagues who, though far removed from our daily lives, are still concerned and ready to help us by traveling from their country to unselfishly share their knowledge with us. In today's dehumanized world, where the meaning of coopera-

tion is left behind in the wake of rampant personal ambition, this work is truly worthy of admiration. On behalf of my country El Salvador, I want you to know that your efforts have not fallen on arid ground—on the contrary, your words have been the seed which will be multiplied by the efforts of everyone who has benefited from your work. (M.F. Salinas Hernandez, personal communication, July 30, 2000)

CEPA's Forward Presence

Based upon the success of previous events, plans are well underway for the *Sixth Annual CEPA conference* scheduled for April 5-6, 2002 in Long Beach, California. The theme of this year's conference is "*Redesigning Leadership: An Ethical and Innovative Approach to Empowerment*." The University of Scranton, Pennsylvania, will serve as co-hosts of the conference and there is much excitement about the prospect of this link between East and West coasts.

This collaborative endeavor will include Masters and Doctoral students in educational leadership and other disciplines, faculty and administrators from all levels of education, business, law enforcement, and military officials. Area political, cultural, and civic leaders will also be involved in the conference.

Highlighting this year's CEPA conference will be the signing of a *Memorandum-of-Understanding (MOU)* between The University of Scranton and CEPA. The keynote speakers, along with the diplomatic corps in the Los Angeles area, have been invited to serve as observers of this historic link which creates an important partnership between an established institution of higher learning and a dynamic educational leadership organization.

In addition to strengthening leadership preparation initiatives, this is an opportune time for all of us to promote the importance of world leadership during a very critical time in U.S. history. As we know, our world continues to shrink and events on the other side of the world can have a profound and immediate local impact. Conferences such as the 6th Annual CEPA conference dealing with ethical, interdisciplinary, and international leadership and strategies and policies for the 21st century have never been as important as they are now! The California Secretary of Education Kerry Mazzoni, in her Letter of Endorsement stated:

This year's theme, *Redesigning Leadership: An Ethical and Innovative Approach for Empowerment*, will continue Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas (CEPA) efforts in promoting positive changes in education. Their work thus far has been vital for the development of leaders willing to steer a course towards educational reform. CEPA efforts and the great work produced from this conference will not only go on to serve our students, but also students around the world. Californians should be proud of the fine work past conferences have produced, and for continued efforts in preparing our great state for the 21st century. (K. Mazzoni, personal communication, April 5, 2002)

CEPA Consortium and Reformulating Leadership Preparation Programs

The *Memorandum-of-Understanding (MOU)* initiative between The University of Scranton and CEPA formalizes and sustains a partnership which has been developing during the past two years. The principal goal of the MOU is to establish a Center for the Study of Leadership through a comprehensive educational leadership and civic educational program. Another goal is to establish collaborative partnerships for faculty and instructional leaders through a combination of collaborative research and outreach. Three major themes will be emphasized: ethical leadership, interdisciplinary, and international leadership. The University of Scranton will be joining the CEPA Consortium of universities which was established in 1997. Memorandums-of-Understanding have already been signed with universities and other governmental agencies in several Central American countries.

Contributing expertise and an excellent setting for collaboration, CEPA will benefit significantly from the diverse experience which colleagues from the University of Scranton and universities from Latin America will bring. In addition, this partnership will allow for the redesigning of course outlines within the respective leadership programs. The partnership between CEPA and the University of Scranton also has the potential to influence the course of national and international programs. It serves as an innovative approach for the study of instructional leadership and a process for redesigning educational institutions for the new millennium.

Pursuing the Ideal

CEPA was designed to improve the quality of the instructional leadership programs by having graduate students design their own individualized program for their professional development. CEPA enhances student's university-based program with significant, meaningful, and viable academic and leadership initiatives. By taking ownership of their professional development they begin to understand that commitment is what transforms a promise into reality.

In particular, CEPA leadership initiatives provide an opportunity for our future leaders to develop a "forward presence," learn to be visionary, become risk-takers, and break away from the old paradigms to make positive changes. Leadership opportunities offered through CEPA allow participants to practice leadership and develop new models of leadership to successfully enter a new century with confidence. Through CEPA graduate students are gaining the leadership qualities and skills desired for a strong foundation of general management and leadership: relentless drive to improve leadership and education throughout the Americas, a deep sense of integrity and inner strength by embracing ethical leadership and democratic values, and the ability to initiate a dynamic interchange of ideas with other professionals and disciplines dedicated to a common mission and philosophy.

CEPA is also designed to mentor future leaders in conjunction with their formal academic program. There is agreement that mentoring should begin long before the administrative candidate arrives at the school site. Graduate students have an opportunity to enhance their professional development by practicing leadership during their internships and by engaging in elective academic activities which are part of their Educational Administrative Credential program. This early assistance truly aids an effective transition into school site administrative careers.

One of the most important principles embraced by CEPA is investing the time needed in developing new leadership. This cannot be done fleetingly, but must be a conscientious effort, nor can it be accomplished by the part-time faculty upon whom universities are depending more and more. We must all make a "full-time" commitment to the intellectual development of our future leaders, and we as leaders must also concentrate on vision, risk, and change.

Finally, it is important to note that through CEPA we are taking a chance on young people, as advocated by California State Assemblyman Marco Antonio Firebaugh (Rodriguez, 2001). Motivating graduate students in educational leadership and energizing current leaders will affect the positive changes needed in the content and delivery of professional development programs. Developing innovative, dynamic, and creative alternatives like CEPA is key for attracting, preparing, and retaining the administrative candidates who will evolve as the powerful young leaders of the future and for providing a strong foundation for effective school leadership.

References

- Baca, L. D. (2001). Sheriff Baca's Message. *Star News Sheriff's Relief Association of Los Angeles County*, 62, 24.
- Bertman, S. (2001). Cultural amnesia: A threat to our future. *The Futurist*, January-February.
- Christip, E. & Larson, C. (1994). *Collaborative leadership: How citizens and civic leaders can make a difference*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas. (2001, March). *CEPA Leadership conference* (Issue Brief No.1). Long Beach, CA: F. Rodriguez.
- Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas. (2002, April). *Leadership conference* (Issue Brief No. 1). Long Beach, CA: F. Rodriguez.
- Council of Chief State School Officers. (2000). *Leadership for learning in the 21st century* (Issue Brief No.1). Washington, DC.
- Day, C. (2000). Beyond transformational leadership. *Educational Leadership*, 48, 56-59.
- EdSource. (2001). *Help wanted: Top administrators to lead California's schools* (Issue Brief

Scholar and Educator XXIII: (2001)
No. 1). Palo Alto: J. Teague.

Foster, W. (1999). *Toward a critical practice of leadership p*. In L. Orozco (Ed.), *Educational Leadership* (pp. 3-15). Chicago: Coursewise Publishing.

Goals 2000: Educate America Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 103-227, §501, 108. Stat. 157 (1994).

Haller, E. J., Brent, B. O., & McNamara, J. H. (1997). Does graduate training in educational administration improve America's school? *Phi Delta Kappan*, xx, 222-227.

Jiménez, T. (2001, March). *What does it mean to be an educated man or woman in this day and age?* Paper presented at the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Educators and Scholars, Long Beach, CA.

Ketelle, D. (2001, March). *Leadership for public purpose: A framework for the future*. Paper presented at the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Educators and Scholars, Long Beach, CA.

Mariotti, J. (1999). *The problem with management: Perhaps it starts with the choice of word*. In L. Orozco (Ed.), *Educational Leadership* (pp. 16-17). Chicago: Coursewise Publishing.

Moorehead, T. K. (2001). *LASD2: Begin with the end in mind*. *Star News Sheriff's Relief Association of Los Angeles County*, 61, 3-5.

New England Board of Higher Education. (1991). *Pan-American university executive summary* (Tech. Rep. No. 1). Panama, Central America: PCC Board of Trustees Executive Committee.

Olson, L. (2000). *New demands, new pressures alter administrators' role*. *Education Weeks*, 19, 2-3.

Pallante, J. J. (2001, March). *Collaboration across geographic and theoretical borders*. Paper presented at the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Educators and Scholars, Long Beach, CA.

Peck, K. L. (1999). *Before looking for the gas pedal: A call for entrepreneurial leadership in American schools*. In L. Orozco (Ed.), *Educational Leadership* (pp. 46-49). Chicago: Coursewise Publishing.

Rodriguez, F. J. (2001). *Visionary international leadership: An interdisciplinary initiative for the new millennium* [Review of the video program 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Educators and Scholars]. *Collaborative Educational Programs for the Americas CEPA*, 24, 001-300.

Whitaker, K. S. (1994). *The changing role of the principal: Exhausting or rewarding?* *Educational Horizons*, 72, 160-162.

Yates, A. C. (1999). *Good leaders must first be good people*. In L. Orozco (Ed.), *Educational Leadership* (pp. 46-49). Chicago: Coursewise Publishing.

Author Note

Federico J. Rodriguez, Ph.D., is a full-time faculty member in the Graduate Education Department, School of Education, California State University, Dominguez Hills, 1000 East Victoria Street, Carson CA 90747; (562) 498-5670 (TEL/FAX); e-mail: cepa2000@earthlink.net

I would like to thank all of my graduate students for their continued support and faith during nearly seven years in the design and development of *Collaborative Educational Programs* for

Scholar and Educator XXIII: (2001) *the Americas (L.A.)*. In particular, Hilda Baca, Karolina Gage, Petra Martínez, Kimberly Escobedo, and Bangom Thepsitlik are to be highly commended for assuming major roles in programs and outreach. I would also like to thank Dr. E. Jan Kehoe, President/Superintendent of the Long Beach Community College District for taking the lead in co-hosting the most recent CEPA initiative: 24th Annual Conference for The Society of Educators and Scholars, March 7-9, 2001. Dr. Leticia Suarez, Dean, School of Learning Resources, Teaching and Technologies at Long Beach City College is to be commended for co-directing the conference, as is Fred Rossmannek and Cindy Hanks, Lead Media Producer and Media Technical Specialist, respectively, at LBCC, for their logistical support and for developing a model instructional video program highlighting the conference academic and leadership activities. Special thanks are also extended to the following officials who served as consultants and on the planning committee for this most recent CEPA event: Professor Rosa Omofre from Antelope Valley College; Ludvina Villegas, Counselor at Los Angeles Mission College; and Elizabeth Onofre, faculty member from Antelope Valley Union High School. Lastly, appreciation is extended to Marie Rosa Martinelli and Bill Hooper, Student Insurance, and the Reserve Officers Association, Department of California, Long Beach Chapter 12, for their financial sponsorship.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Federico J. Rodríguez, Department of Graduate Education, California State University, Dominguez Hills, 1000 East Victoria Street, Carson CA 90747.

The Author

Dr. Federico J. Rodríguez's professional career covers a wide range of experience, including serving as a college President, twenty years as a university professor, and as an Army officer. He holds a B.S. from Idaho State University, a M.Ed. from Colorado State University, and a Ph.D. in Educational Administration from the University of Utah. He is currently a professor of Graduate Education at California University, Dominguez Hills. He may be contacted at:

California State University, Dominguez Hills
 Graduate Education Department
 1000 E. Victoria Street
 Carson, CA 90747
 Email: cepa2000@earthlink.net
www.cepa2000.org